

Peer Review Report – Australian Safe Communities Foundation Certifying Centre for International Safe Communities Network.

Indicator 1

- Commenced work in February 2005 (sub district of Youth Park designated 97th International Safe Community in March 2006) with community diagnosis on demography, safety knowledge, safety behaviour. Identified 13 safety issues for attention.
- The reference group has a wide representation across agencies and community groups. Some interesting NGOs - Unions, Women's Federation, Youth and Disabled listed.
 - **Question: are all members of the reference group listed? Police and Fire are listed in the working groups but not in reference group.**
- 10 working groups established.
- Page 3 states Huaiyin designated a National Safe Community by COSHA on 4 November 2008 – this report was received in October – is this the correct date?
 - **Appears to meet indicator 1 minimal criteria**

Indicators 2 and 3

- The report details activities in 13 target areas (narrowed to the 10 working groups) – home safety, social stability, health safety, fire safety, work safety, environment safety, traffic safety, elderly safety, child safety, school safety, water safety, sports safety and public safety. These were identified from the community diagnosis in 2005.
 - **These projects will be confirmed during the site visit**
- Programs cover safety for children, adolescents, adults, and older adults of both genders.
- High-risk groups targeted include low-income (high population of low income earners, migrant workers), older people, children, women – good to see domestic violence being addressed, and the communities at risk from natural disasters such as flooding.
 - **Appears to meet indicators 2 & 3 minimal criteria**

Indicator 4

- The report details the procedure for surveillance of injuries and the channels for collecting the data.
- Graphs and tables in the application should reference the data source. Is this injury surveillance from hospitals etc or from the Community diagnosis/surveys?
- An appendix of “Injury Surveillance Report in urban Area of Huaiyin District” is listed but was not provided. Also, statistics from the Safe Community diagnosis report 2005 and Safe Community Evaluation 2007 are referred to regularly – would be useful to see the type of information collected – could this be used by other communities?
- There appears to be an integrated data driven approach to determining priorities and monitoring change, with data shared from various sources, including community clinics, EDs in hospitals and other relevant departments. The process for collection and dissemination are clearly defined.
 - **Q – data for both elderly injuries (2005-07) and child injuries (2005-07) show increases in the same areas – Burns and scalds, animal bites and stabs/cuts. Is there an explanation for these figures when the overall total is reducing?**
 - **There are some inconsistencies around the data reported in the application. A detailed Injury Monitoring Report of Huaiyin is being prepared for discussion.**
 - **Appears to meet indicator 4 minimal criteria**

Indicator 5

- Evaluations in the report are directly linked to the injury data. Is that enough to measure the program impact on the overall community?
 - **Q – Have evaluations been conducted on any of the projects/activities or the overall program, or are evaluations planned? Process evaluation?**
 - **Q – What were the project goals? Or even activity goals? Have these been met? Pg 60 refers to a number of programs not being target oriented and needing further improvement.**
 - **Appears to meet indicator 5 minimal criteria – but requires further information**

Indicator 6

- The report refers to active involvement in local, regional, national and international networks.
- Organisation and involvement in the international safe community designation of Youth Park in 2006. Included a national safe community “Top Seminar on Safe Community”.
- National safe community recognition by COSHA.
 - **Q – What involvement is planned in forthcoming national and international events? What work is proceeding on sharing the experiences with the wider SC network – at the regional (within China), national and international levels?**
- There is evidence of involvement at the national level with visit / agreements with other Chinese safe communities. What involvement has / is planned for regional involvement – 2009 Asian Conference? Was there participation in the 17th International Safe Communities Conference or plans for involvement in the 18th?
- Suggest that articles are provided to the Safe Communities Monthly News to provide information about the interventions underway in Huaiyin District.
- **Appears to meet indicator 6 minimal criteria**

Plans for the future – these are outlined on pages 60 and 61.

Good to see that they are identifying programs that overlap with others or are not target oriented.

The application also identified areas to address into the future including migrant worker safety.

Overall – the application indicates that Huaiyin District appears to be ready for a site visit, at which time the questions about the application can be discussed.

Please contact:

Henk Harberts

Certifying Centre,

International Safe Communities Network

Australian Safe Communities Foundation Inc.