



Report on Evaluation of Gongju, Korea

Date	Nov. 25, 2019
Certifier:	Yoko Shiraishi
Co-Certifier	Michael Lowery Wilson (Nov.4, 2019)

Certifier's comments (Summery made at the on-site evaluation)

The report was well prepared. Helped to understand the SC programs in Gongju City before the on-site evaluation. There were, however, some points to be confirmed at the on-site valuation.

At the on-site evaluation, it was very helpful to have good discussion with the mayor to get idea about his vision with SC as the political leader. The discussion with the SC teams of the city governments has also shown the commitment by the local government about safety promotion at the community levels. In addition, the site visit helped me to check what has been improved though SC, while there have been various existing programs for the problems through the direct communication.

Upon the evaluation with the report and presentations at the on-site evaluation, it is clear that the city of Gongju has fulfilled the seven indicators as SC and good enough to be a member of the international Safe Community network.

The followings are the summery of the on-site evaluation and more details about the on-site evaluation is described in the appendix.

● **Strong points:**

- Long term efforts made good achievements
- Firm structure of cross sectorial collaboration and the process of PDCA cycle.
- Good achievements in the prioritized fields by decline of the mortality and so on.
- Good use of the national analysis and evaluation system so that they don't need to put extra efforts and can compare with other communities in the countries.
- Happy to hear that improvement of social capital (networking and trusts)

● **Suggestions for the further developments (next five years):**

1. **Structure: invite more business such as local media**

2. **Problem findings and evaluations:**

1) **Try qualitative analysis**

→Try to discussion about the data from different views in the government, experts and people in practices so that you will get more information behind the data. (related to the qualitative analysis.)



**2) Make better use and show examples of the analysis of outcome by the national systems.
→ analysis by areas (district?) are shown but not seems to be used yet.**

3. Programs:

1) Interaction among teams (ex. senior and suicide since the senior is the high risk group of suicide.)

2) Use SC for the community promotion such as “tourist safety” as the common themes

Co-certifier’s summary of appraisal

Overall the report was very well prepared, concise and provided the key information in a well laid out manner. Attention was given to detail and the balance of programming was comprehensive. One of the items which did stand out as being an area for improvement concerned the use of the word “accident”. In safety promotion work, we try to avoid the use of the word accident, which implies that an event was unavoidable. We now know that the vast majority of events that result in injury have predictable precursors which can be studied, mitigated and made for the benefit of those who may happen to find themselves within similar circumstances. In addition to the comments below, which the community and authors may use to strengthen their report, **I would actually recommend this report as being one of the very best examples of community reporting of safe community activities. This report was exceptionally well prepared, well written and the integrated evaluation items made it straightforward to review on a concise and consistent manner.** The brief summaries at the end of each section were also very helpful in providing an overview of complex information. Thus the report both serves as an easy to follow guide for not only the certification team but also for the communities themselves and others when reflecting over the work carried out.

Good Example (Recommendation to other communities)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Dynamic collaboration with various NGO and administrative organizations.- Good use of the national evaluation systems to identify the proboles and achievement (Impacts)

The Form A

Question and Comments by the certifiers	Results
Section 1: <i>Community Overview</i>	
<p>A.1 Briefly describe the community and its historical development</p> <p>【Certifier】 Well described.</p> <p>(MEMO) -1 eup, 9 myum, 6 dong in the city (邑/面/洞) -Population around 100,000(around 50,00 households) 2.41 person/household -Cultural and Historical city (world heritage) -Aging society (growing elderly generation and shrinking young generations) -Higher mortality from external causes -Focus on disaster preparation -Started SC program in 2015 (Officially started in 2016)</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 The community and its historical development are very well described, particularly within the context of their safe community activities.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>A.2 Describe the strategy, ambitions, objectives and work in the community in regard to safety. It must be a higher level of safety than average for a community in the country or region.</p> <p>【Certifier】 -Any intention to use “cooperation”? → meaning that you are on the way to reach the level of collaboration? - What is the main disasters in the communities? → natural disaster/human made disasters?</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 Short, medium and long term objectives are very well described in this section. All of what needs to be in this section is here.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>A.3 How are the mayor (or similar function of the community) and the executive committee involved? Who is chairing the cross-sector group?</p> <p>【Certifier】 - Good explanation of the structure, but no description about the responsibility of the Mayor and the executive committee - Not clear about “Safety culture Campaign Council” → Would be helpful if there are some examples of the activities → Ex-mayor started and the current mayor has also adapted SC into his community development. (2nd year of the 1st term)</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 It is mentioned later in the report that the strategy involved is very much top down. But if the mayor of the community is involved, it was not readily apparent from the provided descriptions. This is perhaps one aspect that could be improved. Please explicitly state how the mayor is involved in the entire process.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? Enough involvement of the mayor? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p> <p>Involvement of the executive committee? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p> <p>Who is chairing cross sectoral groups? </p>
<p>A.4 Describe the injury risk-panorama in the community.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good description</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 This section was very well described with very nice illustrations, time series maps and bar graphs.</p>	<p>Is the risk-panorama sufficiently described? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>Section B: <i>Structure of the community</i></p>	
<p>B.1 Describe the demographic structure of the community</p> <p>【Certifier】 -Good explanation (Memo) quite big number of the international marriage. → high risk of DV and suicide Question -Why the number of the people with disabilities is decreasing? →It has been increasing in Japan due to the development of the medical treatment and technology.</p>	<p>Are the description (demographic and risk) sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>B.2 Describe the SC/IP at present and the plans for the future. 【Certifier】 -Good explanation but no description about the future. →well explained in the presentations of the taskforce teams.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.3 Describe the political support for the sustained injury prevention in the community and which parts of the program have been undertaken and/or supported by the regional government? 【Certifier】 - Good explanation regarding to the city → Any support by the province government?</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.4 Describe the strategic program concerning the safety promotion and injury prevention work, which has been formulated. 【Certifier】 Good explanation → would like to hear about the programs in seven safety fields from the national government *many evaluations are done based on the frameworks provided by the national government so that might not fit to the programs. But good to make the use of the national systems rather than setting up another framework.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.5 Who is responsible for the management of the SP/IP program and where are they based in the local political and administrative organization?</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>【Certifier】 Good explanation → would be easier if we can have some kind of picture to get the overview of the relations and positions among those organizations described.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>B.6 Which is the lead unit for the SP/IP program?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation (Question) What is the difference between red and blue(gray) (Fig. B6-1)</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.7 Is the Safe Communities initiative a sustained program or a project?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Is this description answering the question? →The SC initiatives is the sustainable programs under the various ordinances.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.8 Are the objectives decided by the local politicians covering the whole community? Which are they?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Is this description answering the question? → explained well in the presentations.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.9 Who have adopted these objectives?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Is this description answering the question? → ex-mayor did it and the current mayor has adapted SC into his community development.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>B.10 How are the Safe Community objectives evaluated and to whom are the results reported?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.11 Are economic incentives in order to increase safety used? If yes, how are they used?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>B.12 Are there local regulations for improved safety? If so, describe them.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation but would like to hear some examples.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>Indicator 1: <i>An infrastructure based on partnership and collaborations, governed by a cross- sector group that is responsible for safety promotion in their community;</i></p>	
<p>1.1 Describe the cross-sector group responsible for managing, coordinating, and planning of the SP/IP program.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>1.2 Describe how the local government and the health sector are collaborating in the SC/IP work.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation but would like to hear some examples.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>1.3 How are NGOs Red Cross, retirement organizations, sports organizations, parent and school organizations involved in the SC/IP work?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation but would like to hear some examples.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 I may not have understood entirely, but where is this explained in the documentation? Particularly as it pertains to the NGOs. → Many NGO showed up at the on-site evaluation.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>1.4 Are there any systems for ordinary citizens to inform about risk environments and risk situations they have found in the community?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>1.5 Describe how the work is organized in a sustainable manner. (Mid-, Long term promotion plan)</p> <p>【Certifier】 Agree with Michael</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 In section 1.5 A it is mentioned that the Gongju Safe Community project is deployed as a top-down approach. However there are a number of significant bottom-up aspects as well. I would encourage the community to emphasize both approaches in their documentation.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>

Indicator 2: Long-term, sustainable programs covering genders and all ages, environments, and situations;

2.1 Describe the sustainable work in regard to SC/IP in following areas and how the different sectors including specific NGOs are involved in the work.

- 1. Safe traffic 2. Safe homes and leisure times 3. Safe children
- 4. Safe elderly 5. Safe work 6. Violence prevention
- 7. Suicide prevention 8. Disaster preparedness and response
- 9. Safe public places 10. Safe hospitals 11. Safe sports
- 12. Safe water 13. Safe schools

Are some of these areas overseen by from other organizations and/or agencies than from the community? How is the community involved?

【Certifier】

Any explanation about involvement of NGO and community groups?

→ Good involvement of the NGO was found at the on-site evaluations

【Co-certifier】

All well described, no additional comment.

Are the descriptions sufficient?

Yes

No., If no!! What is missing:

2.2 Describe the work with genders, all ages and all environments and situations. Describe all activities like falls prevention and how the work is done.

【Certifier】

Agree with Michael

【Co-certifier】

Does the community have any information on domestic violence / intimate partner violence? What about programming in this area? Does this fall under "Emergency Welfare Support"?

Are the descriptions sufficient?

Yes

No., If no!! What is missing:

Indicator 3: Programs that target high-risk groups and environments, and programs that promote safety for vulnerable groups;

3.1 Identify all high-risk groups and describe what is being done to increase their safety. Groups at risk are often:

- 1. Indigenous people
- 2. Low-income groups
- 3. Minority groups within the community, including workplaces
- 4. Those at risk for intentional injuries, including victims of crime and self-harm

Are the descriptions sufficient?

Yes

No., If no!! What is missing:

<p>5. Abused women, men and children 6. People with mental illness, developmental delays or other disabilities 7. People participating in unsafe sports and recreation settings 8. Homeless 9. People at risk for injuries from natural disasters 10. People living or working near high- risk environments (for example, a particular road or intersection, a water hazard etc. 11. People at risk due to religion, ethnicity or sexual preferences</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>3.2 Give examples of high risk environments</p> <p>1. Describe how risk environments in the community are identified 2. Describe prioritized groups and/or environments 3. Are there specific programs for their safety in the community 4. Describe the timetable of the work 5. Are these groups involved in the prevention aspect of these programs?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Any high risk environment in terms of the disaster? Since this community is working on the disaster management and preparedness. →High risk areas(locations) are described in each priorities.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>Indicator 4: Programs that are based on the available evidence;</p>	
<p>4.1 Describe the evidence-based strategies/programs that have been implemented for different age- groups and environments.</p> <p>【Certifier】 -Any (example of) adaption of the programs which have already shown the effectiveness in safety promotion or injury prevention?</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>



<p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>4.2 Has any contacts been established with the ISCSC (<i>International Safe Community Support Centres</i>) and other scientific institutions, or knowledgeable organizations about the development and/or implementation of evidence-based strategies? Which ones? What has been the extent of their counsel? Notice: WHO links to evidence- based interventions are found at the end of form B.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation.</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>Indicator 5: Programs that document the frequency and causes of injuries;</p>	
<p>5.1 What local data is used to determine the injury prevention strategies? For example, registering injuries can be done at hospitals, health centers, dentists, schools, care of the elderly organizations and the local police. Household surveys can also be used for collection of data about injuries and risk environments and risk situations. Which methods are used in the community?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>5.2 Describe how data are presented in order to promote safety and prevent injuries in the community.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.., If no!! What is missing:</p>

<p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	
<p>5.3 Describe how the community documents and uses knowledge about causes of injuries, groups at risk and risky environments. How does the community document progress over time?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>Indicator 6: Evaluation measures to assess their programs, processes and the effects of change;</p>	
<p>6.1 How does your community analyze results from the injury data to track trends and results from the programs? What is working well and has given you good results. What are the plans to continue? What needs to be changed?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>6.2 Describe how the results from the program evaluations are used</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>6.3 Describe the changes in pattern of injuries, attitudes, behavior and knowledge of the risks for injuries as a result of the programs.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>

Indicator 7: Ongoing participation in national and international Safe Communities networks.	
<p>7.1 Describe how the community has joined in and collaborates in national and international safe community networks.</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>7.2 Will the designation ceremony coincide with any international conference, seminar or other forms of international or national exchange? (within 75 words)</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>7.3 Which already designated Safe Communities will be invited for the designation ceremony?</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>
<p>7.4 Which international conferences and national Safe Community conferences has the municipality participated in? (within 75 words)</p> <p>【Certifier】 Good explanation</p> <p>【Co-certifier】 All well described, no additional comment.</p>	<p>Are the descriptions sufficient?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No., If no!! What is missing:</p>



7.5 In which Regional Network for Safe Communities is the community a member or planning to seek membership? (Asian, European, Pan-Pacific, African or Latin-American Regional Network for Safe Communities) (within 25 words)

【Certifier】

Good

【Co-certifier】

All well described, no additional comment.

Are the descriptions sufficient?

Yes

No., If no!! What is missing:



Appendix

[Comment for the presentations at the on-site evaluation]

1. Overview about the city and SC programs

- Good explanation. Provided very good profile of the community with comprehensive community safety diagnosis.

Note

-Making the use of the framework of the national system for the safety check

2. Injury Surveillance

-Good. Comprehensive

-Showing how to operate PDCA cycle.

- Good explanation and discussion based on the data analysis. Ex. Explained the analysis of

3. Suicide Prevention

-Good achievement in term of the decline in the mortality and statistics.

-Good to see the intervention on the environments (jumping off from the bridges)

- See backgrounds by age groups

→will give more information about “to whom” and “how to reach” the targets

Interaction among senior and suicide since the senior is the high risk group of suicide.

4. Senior Safety

- Good achievements in terms of the decline in the mortality

-Good to have a group for dementia

- Interaction among senior and suicide since the senior is the high risk group of suicide and road safety.

- Please try to an action about senior abuse

- Try to separate 65-74 years old and over 75 years old.



5. Traffic Safety (for me, this is the one should be more improved)

- Informative
- Good achievement (outcomes)
- Environmental improvements have been made

- Would like to see clear connection problems→interventions→outcomes
Seems to be showing separately
Have more discussion with data to see back ground of the number (statistics)
“Who is making drinking and driving” ? etc.
- Would like to see background of the data (Who do the drinking and driving and how and why)

6.+7. Multicultural family+ Abuse prevention

- Good collaboration with relevant organizations/groups.--> good involvement of the NGO groups
- Very informative
- Good achievement in outcomes (upon the result of the national analysis)

- Good use of the national data but better to see background of the data
cf. Why the counseling number is raising so much among female over 50 years old? Do you deliver the information focusing on those generations?
- What is the most effective programs?(can be different from different view points)
- Can be provided some example to explain impact of the programs